UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,955	52,955 10/14/2005 Fredrik Lindholm		P18053-US1	2497
27045 ERICSSON IN	7590 11/03/200 C.	EXAMINER		
6300 LEGACY		NGUYEN, TRONG H		
M/S EVR 1-C-1 PLANO, TX 75		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2436	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/552,955	LINDHOLM ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
TRONG NGUYEN	2436	

	TRONG NGUYEN	2436					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 05 October 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ').	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in completing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, between the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, between the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, between the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, between the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, between the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, between the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, between the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, between the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, between the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, between the proposed amendment(s) filed after a filed amendment filed after a filed amendment filed amendment filed after a filed amendment filed amendment filed after a filed amendment filed amend	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying th					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	See Continuation Sheet. owable if submitted in a separate, will not be entered, or b) wi	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>6 and 30</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,7-11,13-29,31,32 and 34-45</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and							
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. So	date of filing a brief, w al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	vill <u>not</u> be s to provide a).				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	າ condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Nasser G Moazzami/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2436	/T N/ Examiner, Art Unit 2436						

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Claim objection to claims 1-2, 13, 16-18, 25-26, 34-36, and 41-42, 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph of claims 1, 25, and 41.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Please note that this a new Advisory action replacing the previous Advisory action mailed on 10/19/2009 which was vacated. In the response filed on 10/05/2009, Applicants argue that "Schutzer does not, however, teach individual authentication tokens assigned to units in a group of at least two units associated with a common password". The Examiner respectfully disagrees. On Par. 0027 and in Fig. 7, Schutzer discloses a process in which a user can enroll one or MORE web-enable devices 28 (i.e. units in a group) such as one or more personal computers (PCs), set-top boxes, and/or palm pilots, from which the user can perform transaction. During this enrollment process, the user first logs onto the authenticating service and supplies the authetication token (obtained during initial registration process) and the user PASSWORD (S5). A new HASH is then computed that includes certain identifying information for the user's device, such as the PC serial number, the index number, and the user PASSWORD, one or more randomly generated numbers, and/or the date and time of the enrollment (S6). This new hash is the INDIVIDUAL authentication TOKEN assigned to the enrolled device of the user. Thus, Schutzer discloses "individual authentication tokens assgined to units in a group of at least two units associated with a common password".